

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30466 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-383 Year-2023 Thana- BIKRAM District- Patna

NAVEEN KUMAR SHARMA @ NAVEEN SHARMA Son of Sri Arun
Sharma R/o Vill.- Shivgarh, P.S.- Bikram, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shrinandan Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate Mr. Kumar Sameer, Advocate Mr. Ankit Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Pranav Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 24-06-2025 Heard Mr. Shrinandan Prasad Singh learned
Senior Counsel for the petitioner assisted by Mr. Kumar
Sameer, learned Advocate and learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
the offences under Sections 341, 342, 147, 148, 149,
354(B), 452, 307, 448, 379, 323, 325, 380, 504, 506 of
I.P.C. and Section 27 of Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, an FIR was lodged
stating therein that the named accused persons including the
petitioner along with ten persons came variously armed and
assaulted the informant. They also misbehaved with the



informant and subsequently, she was pushed and thrown on ground. It is further alleged that they took away a gold chain and a mobile phone and then fled away firing gun shots.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this false and concocted case. Learned counsel further submits that no such incident as alleged had occurred and from perusal of the FIR, it would be evident that the petitioner is the brother-in-law (*devar*) of the informant and on account of some family dispute, the present case has been lodged. Learned counsel next submits that from perusal of the injury report it appears that though the injury was of grievous nature, the same is on non-vital part of the body. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has three criminal antecedents and is in custody since 10.12.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and the submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner and others to have assaulted the informant and also snatched away the gold chain.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody, let the petitioner above-named be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bikram P.S. Case No. 383/2023 subject to the conditions :-

- a. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative.
- b. The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.
- c. In case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.
- d. The Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of



bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the afore-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

tusharika/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

