

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25106 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-12 Year-2024 Thana- KOPA District- Saran

Rajeev Singh @ Dallu @ Rajiv Singh @ Dallu Son of Awadhesh Sigh
village- Rewari, Ps- kopa, Dist- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Chandra Mohan Jha, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Ram Sevak Choudhary, APP
For the Informant/s	:	Mr.Narendra Kumar, Adv.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 07-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Kopa P.S. Case No. 12 of 2024 dated 20.01.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Section 304B read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the co-accused persons are alleged to have killed the informant's daughter due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the



petitioner who is the husband of the deceased. The petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the deceased. It is further submitted that the deceased was not subjected to torture and cruelty soon before her death for or in connection with demand of dowry. Learned counsel has further submitted that the deceased was fighting for some pity dispute and the deceased firstly tried to commit suicide by hanging herself but the petitioner saved her. Further, the wife of the petitioner became angry and she herself stab knife in her stomach and due to which she died on the spot. Thereafter, the petitioner immediately informed his father-in-law about the incident but the informant twisted the story and lodged a false and fabricated case against whole family members. It is further submitted that, it is not a case of Section 304B of the I.P.C. rather it is a case of Section 306 of the I.P.C. The petitioner has one antecedent and he is on bail in the aforesaid case as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 21.01.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that earlier the bail petition of the petitioner has been rejected by this Court vide order dated 04.09.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 41914 of 2024.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Saran in connection with Kopa P.S. Case No. 12 of 2024, with a condition:-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner are liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

