

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23571 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-335 Year-2024 Thana- BHELDI District- Saran

Hridya Nat @ Hirdya Nat Son of Raj Nath Nat Resident of village -
Ghoghwaliya, Ps- Kopa, Dist- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mili Kumari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Syed Ehteshamuddin, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 14-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Bheldi P.S. Case No. 335 of 2024, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 309(4) B.N.S. (394 I.P.C.). Petitioner
has five criminal antecedents.

3. The allegation against the petitioner in the FIR is that
the informant on his way back to home from his shop, was
intercepted by four miscreants who were on a motorcycle and they
snatched away his gold chain, lockets and other ornaments and
also a mobile phone and the keys of the scooty which he was
travelling on.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. He



further submits that petitioner was not named in the FIR and during the course of investigation, on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused, his name has surfaced in the present case, however, no incriminating article was recovered from the possession of the petitioner and till date no TIP has been done. The counsel has lastly submitted that the petitioner though has no criminal antecedent at the time of lodging of the present case, however, after he was taken on remand from Garkha P.S. Case No. 680 of 2024, he has subsequently been named in four other cases. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 04.01.20025.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and has stated that the petitioner was involved in looting the ornaments and other materials of the informant along with the other co-accused persons.

6. Considering the aforesaid submissions made by the respective parties and taking into account the fact that no incriminating article has been recovered from the petitioner nor the TIP has been done till date to identify the petitioner, I am inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of regular bail.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is allowed.

8. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail



on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court of learned Judicial Magistrate, Saran, Chapra, in connection with Bheldi P.S. Case No. 335 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative and the other shall be local resident.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.

(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

(iv) And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Siwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

