

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26042 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-151 Year-2024 Thana- WARISNAGAR District- Samastipur

1. Anil Kumar Pandit @ Anil Pandit Son of Hare Kishan Pandit @ Hare Kishun Pandit Village -Satmalpur PS -Warishnagar, Ward No.4 Dist -Samastipur
2. Tuna Devi Wife of Anil Kumar Pandit @ Anil Pandit Village -Satmalpur PS -Warishnagar, Ward No.4 Dist -Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nand Kishore Prasad, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 06-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.

2. Earlier the regular bail of the petitioner was rejected by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 21.02.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 4898 of 2025 (Annexure- P 1/A). However, liberty to the petitioners were given to renew their prayer for bail after framing of charge. The present is the second application for regular bail filed on behalf of the petitioner.

3. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Warisnagar P.S. Case No. 151 of 2024, instituted for the



offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 304(B), 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

4. The prosecution case, in short, is that, the petitioner along with other co-accused persons are said to have killed the daughter of the informant due to non-fulfillment of dowry demand.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case as well as charge has been framed against the petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioners also submits that the petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law of the deceased. The allegation levelled against the petitioners are general and omnibus in nature. There is no allegation of demand of dowry made against the petitioners. It is further submitted that husband of the deceased is in judicial custody. The petitioners are separate in mess and business from the husband of the deceased. The petitioners are in custody since 12.11.2024 and have got no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners.



7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, framing of charge and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

8. Let the petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Warisnagar P.S. Case No. 151 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

