

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22445 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1207 Year-2023 Thana- MADHEPURA District- Madhepura

Ranjeet Kumar S/O Late Jagdish Yadav R/O Mohalla- Chandni Chauk, P.S-Bharrahi, O.P., Madhepura, Distt- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Chand Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Naresh Ray

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 02-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Madhepura (Bharrahi) O.P.) P.S. Case No. 1207/2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 427, 385, 386, 307, 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, the informant was proceeding towards Madhepura by Haiwa Truck. It is alleged that the petitioner and others chased and stopped the said truck. It is further alleged that they dragged the informant by putting Gamchha on his neck and demanded rangadari of Rs.25,000/-. It is further alleged that they also damaged the mobile of the informant worth Rs. 14000/-.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged in the FIR. The petitioner bears no criminal antecedent. No incriminating articles has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. He further submits that the informant is the inhabitant of Bhagalpur and all the accused persons including the petitioner is the resident of Madhepura and there is no occasion for petitioner and the informant to know the name of all the accused persons against whom the FIR has been lodged. Pragmatically and prudently, it can reasonably be inferred from the lodging the FIR that the petitioner and the informant having acquaintance with each other at one place or another place. It has been submitted in para 11 of the bail petition that the informant roped the petitioner on account of enmity as the informant has taken Rs.25,000/- from the petitioner for personal work and the informant had assured to give the said amount within one month, however the same has not been returned. It is further submitted that on account of repeated demand of the aforesaid money by the petitioner, he has been falsely implicated in this case. In the light of aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, no case is made out against the petitioner under the aforesaid sections.



5. The learned A.P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, keeping in view clean antecedent of petitioner, argument advanced on behalf of both sides and also taking into consideration the material available on record, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Madhepura in connection with Madhepura (Bharrahi) O.P.) P.S. Case No. 1207/2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

amitkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

