

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23807 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-13 Year-2025 Thana- EXCISE MAHARAJGANJ District-
Siwan

=====

Rita Devi Wife of Late Shankar Choudhary Village- Mirjumala, P. S. -
Bhagwanour Hat, District- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandra Shekhar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Suresh Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2025 Heard Mr. Chandan Shekhar learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Suresh Prasad Singh learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending her arrest in
connection with Maharajganj Excise P.S. Case No. 13 of 2025,
F.I.R dated 09.11.2025 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 30(a), 30(c) and 32(3) of Bihar Prohibition and
Excise Act.

3. Recovery is of 150.00 liters of illicit liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has clean antecedent and has falsely been
implicated in the present case. He further submits that the
allegation as alleged in the F.I.R is false and fabricated. He



further submits that it appears from the F.I.R and seizure list that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from the joint house property of the petitioner and one co-accused, namely, Kundan Kumar Chaudhary was arrested along with illicit liquor and other equipment and he has stated that he was involved in the present crime in question and the recovery has been made from the room of the co-accused person and the petitioner has been accused merely on the ground that he is also the co-owner of the house in question.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

6. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.



7. Considering the aforesaid facts, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner, the petitioner has clean antecedent and the co-accused accepted that the recovered contraband as well as article belongs to him, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Excise Court No. II, Siwan, in connection with Maharajganj Excise P.S. Case No. 13 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.



iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Jyoti Kumari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

