

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7328 of 2025

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Department of Pension and Pensioners Welfare, Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market, New Delhi-110003.
2. The Principal Controller of Communication Accounts, Telephone House, 8, Hare Street, Kolkata- 700001.

... .. Petitioners.

Versus

1. Subir Kumar Bandhopadhyay Son of Late Kali Das Bandopadhyay, Ex-Area Manager (L and A), Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Kolkata Telephone Exchange, Kolkata, Resident of 58B, New Santoshpur, Main Road, Kolkata-700075 presently residing in Flat No.- 403, Sunrise Kamla Rekha Apartment, Rai Rambriksha Path, Nehru Nagar, Patliputra, Patna- 800013.
2. The Chairman cum Managing Director, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Bharat Sanchar Bhawan, Corporate Office, Harish Chandra Mathur Lane, Janpath, New Delhi- 110001.
3. The Director, (H.R.), Office of the Chairman cum Managing Director, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Bharat Sanchar Bhawan, Corporate Office, Harish Chandra Mathur Lane, Janpath, New Delhi- 110001.
4. The Chief General Manager, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Kolkata Telephones, Telephone House, Kolkata- 700001.
5. The Area Manager, City Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, P 9/10, G.C. Avenue, Kolkata- 700013.

... .. Respondents.

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Amarendra Nath Verma, Advocate.
For the Respondents	:	Mr. Abhay Kumar Thakur, Advocate.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

Date : 25-07-2025

The petitioners-Union of India have assailed the order of the Central Administrative Tribunal, Patna Bench, Patna, dated 22.05.2024 passed in O.A. No.050/00564/2023.

2. The respondent no.1-Subir Kumar Bandhopadhyay invoked remedy under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, seeking certain reliefs relating to grant of increments, which were extended to him and it was alleged to be



in excess. In such matters, the Hon’ble Supreme Court have already examined in number of cases as is evident from the order dated 22.05.2024 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Patna Bench, Patna, in O.A. No.050/00564/2023, like **M.R. Gupta versus Union of India & Ors**, reported in (1995) 5 SCC 628, **Ashok Kumar Jha versus State of Bihar**, reported in 2019(1) PLJR 361, **State of M.P. Versus Yogendra Shrivastava**, reported in (2012) 12 SCC 538 and **Union of India and others versus Tarsen Singh**, reported in (2008) 8 SCC 648.

3. In the light of the principles laid down in the aforementioned judicial pronouncements, the petitioners have not made out a case so as to interfere with the order dated 22.05.2024 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Patna Bench, Patna, in O.A. No.050/00564/2023, on the sole ground of delay in filing Original Application by the respondent no.1- Subir Kumar Bandhopadhyay. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

P.S./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	01.08.2025.
Transmission Date	NA

