

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27012 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-667 Year-2023 Thana- BRAHMPUR District- Buxar

Mukesh Kumar Pandey S/O Late Virendra Pandey Resident of Village and Post and P.S.- Udwant Nagar, District- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Priyanka Kumari D/O Vijay Shankar Mishra R/O Village and Post- Raghunathpur, P.S- Brahmpur, Distt.- Saran.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Anand Kumar Ojha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, APP
For Opposite Party No.2	:	Mr. Alok Chaubey, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 04-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant/Opposite Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 498A, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, this petitioner is alleged to have committed torture and harassment upon the informant/Opposite Party No. 2 due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner, while denying the allegations made in the complaint petition, submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case merely because he is husband of the informant/Opposite Party No. 2. As a matter of fact, at no point of time, petitioner demanded any dowry or committed any torture. The petitioner is ready to keep the informant/Opposite Party No. 2 at her matrimonial house with honour and dignity. It is further submitted that the case is triable by the Magistrate. In this connection, learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Hon'ble Court in the case of ***Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar***, reported in ***2006(3) PLJR 182***. Petitioner has got no criminal antecedents and he is in custody since 11.02.2025. Moreover, charge-sheet has already been submitted.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant/Opposite Party No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the nature of accusation, period of custody and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant bail of to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten



thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Buxar in connection with Brahmpur P.S. Case No. 667 of 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

