

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23500 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-39 Year-2025 Thana- SARAI RANJAN District- Samastipur

Sunil Kumar Jha @ Sunil Jha S/O Ram Balak Jha Village- Jhakhda, PS-
Sarairanjan, District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar Roy

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-04-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 30(a) and 4(i)(ii) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of five cases and allegation is of recovery of 3124.5 litres of liquor from two vehicles. It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of any of the seized vehicles and came to be implicated at the instance of chowkidar. It is next submitted that once an accused is implicated in a case relating to excise, the police starts implicating mechanically without holding a proper



investigation either at the instance of chowkidar, local person, confessional statement or secret information.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sarairanjan P.S. Case No.39/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than five cases, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner has antecedent of five cases, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall



be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

