

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6213 of 2025

1. Ganesh Kumar Son of Late Vindeshwari Singh, Resident of Village- Rupaspur, Alipur, Anchal- Tekari, Panchayat- Rupaspur, District-Gaya, Bihar.
2. Janardan Kumar Mishra Son of Late Ramugrah Mishra Resident of Village- Rupaspur, Alipur, Anchal- Tekari, Panchayat- Rupaspur, District-Gaya, Bihar.
3. Suraj Kumar Son of Madhesh Saw Resident of Village- Rupaspur, Alipur, Anchal- Tekari, Panchayat- Rupaspur, District-Gaya, Bihar.
4. Dhanraj Singh Son of Late Ramdeo Singh Resident of Village- Rupaspur, Alipur, Anchal- Tekari, Panchayat- Rupaspur, District-Gaya, Bihar.
5. Ramniwash Singh Son of Late Ramwachan Singh Resident of Village- Rupaspur, Alipur, Anchal- Tekari, Panchayat- Rupaspur, District-Gaya, Bihar.
6. Ram Sundara Das Son of Late Jagdish Das Resident of Village- Rupaspur, Alipur, Anchal- Tekari, Panchayat- Rupaspur, District-Gaya, Bihar.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Panchayati Raj, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
4. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Gaya.
5. The Block Panchayati Raj Officer, Tikari, District- Gaya.
6. The Block Development Officer, Tikari, Gaya.
7. The Circle Officer, Tikari, District- Gaya.
8. The Revenue Officer, Tikari, District-Gaya.
9. The Mukhiya, Rupaspur Panchayat, Anchal- Tikari, District- Gaya.
10. The Revenue Karamchari, Tikari, District- Gaya.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Mandal, SC-3
	:	Ms. Neelam Kumari, AC to SC-3

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-04-2025

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners



submits that for seeking the same relief as prayed for in the present writ application, the petitioners and other villagers have already submitted a representation before the District Magistrate, Gaya on 19.03.2025 but no action has been taken by the District Magistrate, Gaya in the said matter. The learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that he would be satisfied if an appropriate direction is given to the District Magistrate, Gaya to dispose of the representation dated 19.03.2025 (Annexure-P/6) within a stipulated time after hearing the petitioners.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the State has made no objection to the said prayer being made by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

3. In view of the above, the District Magistrate, Gaya is hereby directed to dispose of the representation dated 19.03.2025 (Annexure-P/6) within three months from the date of production of a copy of this order after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners. The order to be passed by the District Magistrate, Gaya should be a speaking and reasoned order in accordance with law.

4. The petitioners are also given the liberty to make a prayer for the interim relief before the District Magistrate, Gaya



who will consider the same in accordance with law.

5. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the present writ application shall stand disposed of.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

