

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6497 of 2022

1. Mithilesh Kumar Gupta Son of Arjun Prasad Gupta Resident of Village-Chakla (Sukhasan), Police Station-Madhepura, District-Madhepura.
2. Ranjan Kumari Daughter of Hari Shankar sahu, Resident of Village-Naya Tola, Police Station-Simri Bhakhtiyarpur, District-Saharsa.
3. Sunita Kumari Daughter of Jai Narayan Lal, Resident of Village-Purikh, Police Station-Bihra, District-Saharsa.
4. Kumari Anu Daughter of Arun Deo Singh Resident of Village-Paroti, Panchgachhiya, Police Station-Bihara, District-Saharsa.
5. Manju Kumari Daughter of Baidya Nath Prasad Singh Resident of Village-Rampatti, Police Station-Singheshwar, District-Madhepura.
6. Punam Kumari Daughter of Jagdish Sah Resident of Village-Jibachharpur, Police Station-Madhepura, District-Madhepura.
7. Santosh Kumar Singh Son of Late Lal Bahadur Singh Resident of Village-Panchgachhiya, Police Station-Panchgachhiya, District-Saharsa.
8. Bechan Sah Son of Raghunandan Sah Resident of Village-Pipara, Police Station-Saur Bazar, District-Saharsa.
9. Umesh Kumar Sah Son of Sukhdeo Sah Resident of Gandhi Path, Ward No.-10, Police Station-Saharsa, District-Saharsa.

... .. Petitioners.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secreary, Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secreary, Staff Selection Commission, Veterinary College Campus, Patna.
3. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Koshi Division, Saharsa.
4. The District Education Officer, Madhepura.

... .. Respondents.

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhas Ranjan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madhaw Pd. Yadaw, GP-23

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 13-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for the redressal of their grievances, the



petitioners have filed several representations before the concerned authorities but no heed has been paid to their representation up till now.

3. In view of the submission aforesaid and the prayer made in this writ application, I direct the petitioners to file a fresh detailed representation enclosing all the documents in support of their claim before respondent no.2 within a period of one month and respondent no.2 after receipt of such representation would pass a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law within a period of one month after affording proper opportunity of hearing.

4. With the aforesaid direction, this writ application stands disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Trivedi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

