

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22870 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-596 Year-2024 Thana- FATEHPUR District- Gaya

Sunil Yadav @ Sunil Kumar S/O Late Baleshwar Yadav @ Late Baleshwar
Prasad Resident of vill.- Manjhauli, P.S.- Fatehpur, Dist.- Gaya- 824232

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Saurabh Bishwambhar, Advocate
	:	Ms. Shruti Kiran, Advocate
	:	Mr. Anmol Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Raj Kishor Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. the State .

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offence punishable under sections 126(2), 115(2),
117(2), 109, 303(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of BNS, 2023.

3 . The prosecution story in brief is that on 15-09-
2024 at 6:30 p.m., informant's brother Rajesh Yadav was sitting
on the door. Suddenly all the accused persons named in the FIR
along with the petitioner came with iron rod, *lathi* and assaulted
the informant's brother Rajesh Yadav with fist and slaps. It is
further alleged that Pankaj Yadav, Sunil Yadav (petitioner)
assaulted by fist and slaps on the mouth, nose and eyes of the
informant's brother. It is also alleged that the accused persons



snatched golden chain, mobile and Rs. 5,000/-.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no major allegation against the petitioner and the only allegation against him in the FIR is of assaulting the informant's brother by fist and slaps on the mouth, nose and eyes. The learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that there is a history of dispute between the two families for which a counter case is also existing (Annexure P/2 to the bail petition) by the family of the petitioner against the family of the informant. Given the history of dispute between the two families, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case which is to teach him and his family members a lesson.

5 . Learned A.P.P. for the State opposed the bail petition.

6. Considering the fact that there is no major allegation against the petitioner, this Court is of the view that the petitioner deserves to be granted the privilege of anticipatory bail, the petitioner in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks from today, let the petitioner, as named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/-(Rupees Twenty Five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Gaya in connection



with Fatehpur P.S. Case No. 596 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita , 2023 .

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

