

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25230 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-25 Year-2023 Thana- SIGAUDI District- Patna

=====

Dharmendra Singh @ Dharmendra Yadav @ Mandal, Son of Chandrashekhar Singh@ Ramjee Yadav, Resident of Village - Murarchak, P.S. - Sigori, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nityanand Neeraj, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 27-06-2025 Heard learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The application for grant of bail to the petitioner who is in custody in connection with Sigaudi P.S. Case No. 25 of 2023 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 304(B), 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. This is the second attempt made on behalf of the petitioner for grant of bail, as earlier the prayer for bail of the petitioner came to be rejected by this Court on 20.09.2024 in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 42210 of 2024 after considering the materials available on record and specially the fact that the petitioner happens to be the husband and the death took place



within seven years of marriage and the body of the deceased was found in an open place.

4. Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner contended that since the prayer for bail of the petitioner stands negated on merit, hence, no submission is being made for reconsideration on merit, but this is the fact that the entire case is based on circumstantial evidence and now the petitioner has been incarcerated since 17.03.2023. More than two years have been lapsed and there is no likelihood of conclusion of the trial, in near future.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and the learned Advocate for the informant vehemently opposes the bail application and submission has been made that the witnesses have supported during the course of trial. Moreover, only the doctor is required to be examined and there is every chances that the trial shall be concluded expeditiously.

6. Considering the submissions advanced by learned Advocate for the parties and taking note of the status report furnished by the District and Additional Sessions Judge-V, Danapur, Patna that altogether five prosecution witnesses have already been examined and the trial is at the fag end, this Court



is not acceded to the prayer for grant of bail at present, accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

7. It is expected that the Trial Court shall take all sincere endeavor to dispose off the trial preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the order. In case the same is not concluded within the period above mentioned, the petitioner shall be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail before this Court.

(Harish Kumar, J)

supratim/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

