

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23016 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-247 Year-2024 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

Md. Sagir Son of Md. Rahman Miya Resident of Village - Mahua
Makundpur, Gudari (Near Shati Masjid), P.O. and P.S. - Mahua, District –
Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Md. Afjal Son of Md. Akbar Resident of Dargah Road, Karbala, P.S. -
Sultanganj, District - Patna, Pin – 800006.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sudha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Anzarul Haque Sahara

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 16-09-2025 Heard both sides.

2. The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 247 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 504, 506, 498(A), 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of D.P. Act.

3. Petitioner is husband of opposite party no. 2 and both parties are ready to settle the dispute.

4. Without going into the merits of the matter, petitioner is granted *provisional anticipatory bail* for a period of six months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order in the event of surrender before the learned trial court on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 247 of 2024.

5. The concerned Court is directed to make suitable efforts for the purpose of conciliation between the parties.

6. The petitioner and the opposite party no. 2 would have the opportunity to work out an amicable resolution of the issue.

7. If an amicable resolution is worked out within six months, petitioner would be entitled to confirmation of his provisional anticipatory bail.

8. The provisional anticipatory bail of the petitioner will be confirmed by learned trial Court in three eventualities, (i) if the matrimonial harmony is substantially restored, (ii) if the informant fails to appear before the learned trial court or (iii) if the informant gets reluctant to reconcile the issue.

9. With the aforesaid observation, this petition stands disposed of.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

amitkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

