

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24938 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-335 Year-2024 Thana- SANDESH District- Bhojpur

Niranjan Kumar Yadav @ Niranjan Kumar S/O Ramdhari Yadav @ Vakil
Yadav R/O Village- Bhojpur Nasratpur, P.S- Sandesh, Distt.- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gautam, Adv
For the Informant	:	Mr. Varun Kumar Singh, Adv
		Mr. Rajeev Kumar, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 20-05-2025 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the informant as well as learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Sandhesh P.S. Case No. 335 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable u/s 103(1), 238, 61(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 02.12.2024, early morning the son of the informant was shot dead and the body was thrown in the orchard. It is further alleged that one Pintu Kumar was seen meeting with Niranjan Kumar (petitioner) at the sand ghat and a suspicion was raised by the informant that all the named accused persons including the petitioner had conspired to kill the son of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that there is nothing to connect the petitioner with the said incident and there is no eye witness to the occurrence. It is next submitted that the during the investigation the CDR of the accused persons including the petitioner was collected and from the CDR of the petitioner it cannot be stated that the petitioner was in touch with the deceased. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has clean criminal antecedents and is in custody since 04.12.2024.

5. Learned counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and have stated that the petitioner was in touch with the brother of the deceased and he was trying to evade the police and was also misleading during the course of the investigation.

6. Considering the aforesaid submissions of the parties and there being no allegation of specific overt act and also considering the period of custody, let the petitioner above-named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Bhojpur, in connection with Sandesh P.S. Case No. 335 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:-



(i) One of the bailors will be close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the Court below, if so required by the learned Trial Court.

(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be canceled by the Court concerned.

(iv) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Jyoti/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

