

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23080 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-526 Year-2024 Thana- MADHUBAN District- East Champaran

Sanjeet Sah S/o Ramikbal Sah R/o Village- Bajitpur Maniyapar, P.S.-
Madhuban, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suraj Kumar Tiwari

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Mushtaque Alam

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

- 2 01-05-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act, 2022.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and allegation is of recovery
of 15 litres of liquor from shop of the petitioner. It is next
submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such
nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and he came
to be implicated based on secret information which is the easiest
way to implicate someone. It is further submitted that from perusal
of the seizure list, it would manifest that the same even does not
remotely suggest that the liquor was recovered from the shop.
4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for
anticipatory bail of the petitioner.



5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.5000/- (Rupees five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Madhuban P.S. Case No.526/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner has antecedent of one case, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

