

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
SECOND APPEAL No.139 of 1992

1. Haripal Pandey

2. Dharma Nath Pandey

3. Ram Chandra Pandey

All sons of Jhagaru Pandey (Deceased)

4. Chandra Kant Pandey

5. Chandra Bhan Pandey

Both sons of Haripal Pandey

6. Yogendra Pandey, son of Ram Chandra Pandey

{All resident of village-Bhanta Pokhar, P.S. Siwan,
District-Siwan}

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

Smt. Lalmuni devi, D/o Mahajan Gond, deceased wife of Ratan Sah, resident of Village-Barhulia, P.S.-Andar, District-Siwan at present resident of Village-Bhanta Pokhar, P.S. and District-Siwan

2. Mukha Devi, D/o Mahajan Gond (Deceased)

3. Name not known widow of Tileshwar Gond @ Jileshar Gond.

4. Ram Ratan Gond

5. Sheo Ratan Gond

6. Sheo Jatan Gond

All sons of Tileshar Gond (Deceased)

7. Sudeshar Gond son of Mukhlal Gond.

8. Sheo Narain Gond

9. Ram Narain Gond

Both sons of Raj Kumar Gond

{All residents of Village-Bhanta Pokhar, P.S.-Siwan,
District Siwan}

10. Shrikrishna Pandey son of Indradeo Pandey (Deceased)

11. Suraj Narain Pandey

12. Chhotaku Pandey

Both sons of Shri Krishna Pandey

{All residents of Village-Bhanta Pokhar, P.S. and
District-Siwan}

13. Dudh Nath Pandey



14. Ganesh Pandey

15. Sheojee Pandey

All sons of Khedu Pandey (Deceased)

16. Surendra Pandey

17. Birendra Pandey

18. Shri Kant Pandey

19. Upendra Pandey

All sons of Adya Pandey (Deceased)

20. Durgawati Devi, wife of Tarkeshwar Mishra.

21. Ramawati Devi wife of Shridhar Pathak

Both daughters of Adya Pandey (Deceased), all residents of village-Bhanta Pokhar, P.S.- Siwan, District-Siwan

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajendra Nr., Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

12 14-11-2025 From the perusal of the record of this case, it appears that there was no representation on behalf of the appellants on 21.02.2025, 19.08.2025, 30.08.2025, 08.09.2025 and 09.09.2025.

2. Today also nobody appears on behalf of the appellants.

3. *Vide* order dated 09.09.2025, office was directed to issue direct notice to the appellants. Office has reported that direct notices were issued upon appellant nos. 1 to 6 through Speed Post with A/D.



4. The notices upon appellant no. 6 is said to be validly served and appellant nos. 1 to 5 reported to be died by the Postal Peon and also reported that appellant no. 5 did not meet at his address.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and report of Postal Peon, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Khatim Reza, J)

prabhat/-

U			
---	--	--	--

