

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6250 of 2025

=====

Ram Lagan Singh, Son of Late Bulaki Singh, Resident of Village- Bishanpur,
Bandey, P.O. Dharampur Bandey, P.S. Patory, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms Bihar, Patna.
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga, Division, Darbhanga.
3. The District Magistrate Cum Collector, Samastipur,
4. The Additional Collector, Samastipur.
5. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Samastipur.
6. The Circle Officer, Morwa, District- Samastipur.
7. Sakal Sah, Son of Late Jageshwar Sah Resident of village- Bishunpur, Bandy, P.O.- Dharampur, Bandey, P.S.- Patory, District- Samastipur.
8. Arun Sah, Son of Late Jaleshwar Sah Resident of village- Bishunpur, Bandy, P.O.- Dharampur, Bandey, P.S.- Patory, District- Samastipur.
9. Dilip Sah, Son of late Ram Nath Sah Resident of village- Bishunpur, Bandy, P.O.- Dharampur, Bandey, P.S.- Patory, District- Samastipur.
10. Slendra Sah, Son of Tuntun Sah Resident of village- Bishunpur, Bandy, P.O.- Dharampur, Bandey, P.S.- Patory, District- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Arvind Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Government Pleader (25)
For NHA	:	Mr. Maurya Vijay Chandra, Advocate
		Mr. Gaurav Govinda, Advocate
		Ms. Preety Ranjan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 28-04-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) to issue an application order/s
direction/s including a writ in the nature of*



mandamus directing upon the Sub-Divisional Officer Samastipur hereinafter refereed to as respondent No (5) to remove the encroachment from the land of the petitioner measuring an Area 6 Khata 8 Dhur appertaining to Khata No-849, Situated in Mauza Bishanpur, P.O- Dharampur Bandey, P.S. Patory District- Samastipur, which is said to be Khatyani land of the petitioner;

(ii) to direct the Respondent No. (5) to remove the encroachment from the abovementioned private Land of the petitioner encroached by the private respondents and vacate the same from unauthorized Possession;

(iii) to the direct the Respondent No (5) to remove the encroachment from above-mentioned land in accordance with the provision of Law;

(iv) to any other relief/s to which the petitioner may be found entitled in the fact



and circumstances of the case.

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the appropriate/competent court for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Granting said liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

