

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25345 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-106 Year-2024 Thana- SAKSOHRA District- Patna

Ajeet Yadav S/o Virshi Yadav Resident of Village- Madanchak (Madan Chak),
P.S.- Bind, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manish Kumar No 13, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramesh Chandra, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-05-2025 Heard Mr. Manish Kumar No. 13, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Mr. Ramesh Chandra, learned Additional
Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Saksohra P.S. Case No. 106 of 2024, F.I.R dated 06.09.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Recovery is of 15 liters of country made Mahua liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R is false and fabricated. He further submits that it appears from the F.I.R and seizure list that nothing has been recovered from the



conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from the motorcycle in question and one Soni Kumar @ Sonu Kumar was arrested with the illicit liquor and motorcycle in question and the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of that he is the owner of the motorcycle in question.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable and submits that the petitioner carries two cases other than the present one and apart from that the petitioner is owner of the motorcycle in question from which the recovery has been made, and out of two cases in one the petitioner has been acquitted by the learned Trial Court and in the second case the petitioner is on bail.

6. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of



counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner, the petitioner has clean antecedent and the name of the petitioner has been transpired merely on the basis of that he is the owner of the motorcycle in question, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Court, Excise, Barh (District-Patna) in connection with Saksohra P.S. Case No. 106 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the



witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Jyoti Kumari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

