

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5972 of 2025

=====

Shakuntala Devi Wife of Late Indra Deo Prasad, Resident of Mohalla-
Makdumpur Near Pragya Library, P.S.-Sheikhpura, District-Sheikhpura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Principle Secretary, Health Department,
Government of Bihar at Patna.
2. The Director in Chief, Diseases Control Public Health and Para Medical,
Medical Services, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Regional Additional Director, Health Services, Munger Division,
Munger.
4. The Superintendent of Sadar Hospital, Munger.
5. The Civil Surgeon cum Chief Medical Officer, Munger.
6. The Deputy Superintendent of Sadar Hospital, Munger.
7. The Accountant General Bihar at Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Ataul Haque, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Advocate General

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH CHANDEL
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
counsel for the respondent – State.

2. It is submitted by the counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had joined services on 22.10.1977 on the post of Nurse Grade – A and stood retired from services on 30.11.2013 thereby, she had completed about 36 years in the services, referring to the memo no. 859 dated 29.03.2007, Annexure – P/1, he further submits that even after the recommendation made by the competent authority the respondents did not make entry into the service book of the petitioner and for that reason she did not get her due from the respondents



although, she is entitled for payment of arrears as well as the revised pension. She continuously made her representation before the respondents but, no decision has been taken by the concerned respondent. Lastly, he submit that this petition may be disposed of directing the concerned respondent i.e. respondent no. 5 to consider and decide the pending representation dated 14.01.2022, Annexure – P/3 within any specific period fixed by this Court.

3. The above prayer made by the counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the State Counsel.

4. On the basis of submissions made by both the counsels, the petition is disposed of, respondent no. 5 is directed to consider and decide the pending representation of the petitioner i.e. Annexure – P/3 dated 14.01.2022, in accordance with relevant rules and laws and pass a reasoned order as early as possible within three months from the receipt of Order of this Court.

5. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of.

(Arvind Singh Chandel , J)

Siddharth Soni/-

U			
---	--	--	--

