

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23192 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-6 Year-2025 Thana- RAMGARH District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

=====

Vinod Bind @ Vinod Kumar Bind S/O Late Adalat Bind Resident of village-
Sadullahpur, PO- Darawan, PS- Ramgarh, District- Kaimur at Bhabua

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar Garg, Advocate
		Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Pathak, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Dilip Kumar No. 1, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 10-09-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of anticipatory bail apprehending his arrest in connection with Ramgarh P.S. Case no.6 of 2025 registered under sections 109(1), 117(2), 118(1) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is said to have assaulted the son of the informant with an iron rod causing serious injuries. It is further stated that other accused persons armed with *lathi* etc. also assaulted.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. While the occurrence is alleged to have taken place on 9.8.2024, the complaint was filed by the informant after more than two



months on 29.10.2024 with no explanation for the delay. Even from the contents of the F.I.R. it would transpire that while the informant and others proceeded with the injured on 9.8.2024, they got him admitted in the hospital only on 11.8.2024. It is further submitted that even the injury report produced is not one of government hospital but of a private hospital and the injury report nowhere states that the injury has been found to be grievous in nature. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and undertakes to cooperate in the investigation/trial.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State. Referring to the injury report it is submitted that the injury found supports the allegations levelled in the F.I.R.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused the contents of the petition, it transpires that there is direct allegation against the petitioner of having assaulted the son of the informant with an iron rod on his head. On perusal of the contents of the injury report it transpires that the injured was brought to the hospital in a semi-conscious condition and the investigation confirmed the shifting of the midline in the brain. The son of the informant was operated upon and thereafter treated in the I.C.U. He was discharged



from the hospital 13 days later.

7. In the facts and circumstances of the case, the injury report having supported the allegation of assault with an iron rod by the petitioner on the head of the son of the informant, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail and the application is rejected.

8. The petitioner is directed to surrender in the learned Court below within a period of four weeks.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

