

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22789 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-443 Year-2024 Thana- SHEKHPURA District- Sheikhpura

Chandan kumar @ Chandan Chauhan S/o Dev Chauhan R/o Village-
Pathlapur, P.S.- Sheikhpura, District- Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bipin Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Dr. Indihar Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-05-2025 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Sheikhpura P.S. Case No. 443 of 2024, registered under Sections 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 308(2), 308(3), 308(4), 308(5), 109, 61(2), 352, 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is named accused in the F.I.R. and he along with 4 others has committed the offence as alleged in the F.I.R.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is innocent and has not committed any offence rather he has been falsely implicated in this case due to previous enmity and business rivalry. He also submits that he has no criminal



antecedent. He further submits that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner rather the allegation is general and omnibus. The petitioner also submits that it is not clear from the F.I.R. as to what is the role played by the petitioner and that no injury has been found in the present case. Hence, apprehending the arrest, the petitioner has filed the present bail application.

5. The learned APP, Dr. Mrs. Indivar Kumari, submits that allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature and stolen articles have been recovered from the house of the petitioner. She also submits that from the seizure list which has been brought on record as page 21 also clearly demonstrates that the stolen articles have been recovered from the house of the petitioner. The learned counsel for the petitioner also does not deny that the stolen articles have been recovered from his house.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, it transpires that the petitioner along with other co-accused is involved in the alleged offence and allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature. As per the seizure list, the stolen articles have been recovered from the house of the petitioner accused, which even the learned counsel for the



petitioner has not denied. There being sufficient material against the petitioner-accused showing his complexity in the alleged offence.

7. Under these circumstances, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Therefore, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

sanjeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

