

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23941 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-47 Year-2024 Thana- VAINI District- Samastipur

-
1. Sri Surendra Pandey @ Surendra Pandey S/O Late Murali Pandey Resident of Village- Khairi, Police Station-Waini, District- Samastipur. At preset Resident of Ward No. 07, Maharana Pratap Ward Jhagarakhand, Police Station- Jhagrakhand, District- Koriya, Chhattisgarh.
 2. Srimati Mina Pandey @ Mina Pandey W/O Sri Surendra Pandey @ Surendra Pandey Resident of Village- Khairi, Police Station-Waini, District- Samastipur. At preset Resident of Ward No. 07, Maharana Pratap Ward Jhagarakhand, Police Station- Jhagrakhand, District- Koriya, Chhattisgarh.
 3. Anjana Pandey Daughter of Surendra Pandey Wife of Abhishek Kumar Resident of Okhla Nagar, Police Station-Okhla, District- Delhi.
 4. Sunil Pandey @ Sunil Kumar Pandey Son of Surendra Pandey Resident of Quarter No. 62, Manendragarh, Police Station-Tedudand, District- Koriya, Chhattisgarh.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Manisha Kumari Daughter of Late Rabindra Thakur Resident of Village- Repura, Police Station- Waini, District- Samastipur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Dr. Kumar Uday Pratap, A.P.P.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Abhay Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 30-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, informant and the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 74, 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per prosecution case, informant was married



with co-accused Anil Pandey on 17.02.2021 and thereafter, it is alleged that these petitioners along with other F.I.R. named accused persons tortured and harassed informant due to non-fulfillment of demand of car as dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No. 1 is father-in-law, petitioner No. 2 is mother-in-law, petitioner No. 3 is married sister-in-law and petitioner No. 4 is married brother-in-law of the informant. There is general and omnibus allegation against these petitioners. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and they are not concerned with family affairs of the couple. They are simply victims of over-implication. Moreover, thrust of accusation is against husband of the informant. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. Considering the fact that thrust of accusation is against husband of the informant, this anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that let the above named petitioners in the event of their arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with



two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate- 1st, Samastipur in connection with Waini P. S. Case No. 47 of 2024, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

