

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24606 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-91 Year-2024 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Bhojpur

Vinod Kumar @ Vinod Singh, Son of Jakhu Singh @ Jakhu Mahto, Resident of Ward No. 05, New Bhojpur, P.S.- New Bhojpur (Dumraon), District- Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rubi Devi @ Rubi Kumari, W/O- Vinod Singh, D/O- Anil Singh, At Present Address- Resident of Village- Sripur, P.S.- Ayar, District- Bhojpur

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raju Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akbar Ali, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      02-05-2025                      Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 91 of 2024 registered for the offences under Sections 341, 323, 498A, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Based upon the written report, the prosecution alleges that marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with opposite party no.2 on 05.03.2023. Despite the fact that sufficient dowry and other valuables have been given to the petitioner at the time of the marriage, the accused persons, including the petitioner, kept on demanding dowry and on



account of non-fulfillment of the same, she was subjected to torture and atrocities, which led to the filing of the present F.I.R.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that prior to the institution of the present F.I.R., the petitioner has filed Divorce Case, bearing Divorce Case No. 294 of 2024, on 06.09.2024, the moment, the opposite party no.2 came to know about filing of the Divorce case, the present F.I.R. has been instituted. Moreover, the petitioner has got fair antecedent and he undertakes that he will fully cooperate in the investigation or in the proceeding of the court.

5. On the other hand, learned APP for the State opposes the bail application and submits that apart from specific allegation of causing torture to opposite party no.2, notice is required to go for the ends of justice.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the parties and taking note of the fact that prior to the institution of the present F.I.R., the petitioner has already filed Divorce case, let the petitioner, named above, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional  
Judicial Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Mahila  
P.S. Case No. 91 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down  
under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

