

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24266 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2467 Year-2023 Thana- SAMASTIPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Samastipur

=====

Ramnaresh Chaudhary S/O Ram Khelawan Chaudhary R/O Village-
Sobhandih, P.S- Khanpur, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Archana Kumari D/O Ashok Kumar Chaudhary Presently residing at
Gangapur, P.S- Baini O.P., Distt.- Samastipur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Alok Anand, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sharma, APP
For Opposite Party No.2	:	Mr. Atul Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 02-07-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and

learned counsel for Opposite Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a complaint

case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341,

323, 307, 498A, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and

Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per prosecution case, marriage of complainant

was solemnized with this petitioner on 16.04.2021. It is alleged

that after marriage, this petitioner, along with other accused

persons, harassed and tortured complainant/Opposite Party No.

2 due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.



4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is husband of complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 and has committed no offence. At no point of time this petitioner demanded dowry or harassed the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2. It is further submitted that petitioner has already been directed to pay maintenance of Rs. 5,000/- by the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Samastipur vide order dated 18.03.2025 passed in Matrimonial Case No. 172 of 2022. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Samastipur in connection with Complaint Case No. 2467 of 2023, subject to



condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

