

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5643 of 2024

Manoj Chaudhary @ Manoj Kumar Yadav son of Ram Narayan Yadav,
Resident of village- Jigna Jagarnath, Police Station- Mirganj, District-
Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Gopalganj.
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Hathua, Gopalganj.
4. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Gopalganj.
5. The Block Development Officer, Hathua, Gopalganj.
6. The Panchayat Sachiv Jigna Jagannath Hathua, Gopalganj.
7. Smt. Laljhari Devi, wife of Suresh Chaudhary, Resident of village- Jigna Jagarnath, P.S. Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.
8. Bhim Kumar Yadav, Son of Rangi Choudhary, Ward Member of Ward No. 1, Resident of Village- Jigna Jagarnath, P.S. Mirganj, District-Gopalganj.
9. Shailesh Kumar Yadav, Son of Shikandar Chaudhary, Ward Member of Ward no. 3, Resident of Village- Jigar Jagarnath, P.S. Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.
10. Chandra Shekhar Singh, Son of Ram Nath Singh, Ward Member of Ward No. 13, Resident of Village- Jigar Jagarnath, P.S. Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.
11. Manju Devi, Wife of Santosh Yadav, Ward member of ward no. 05, Resident of Village- Jigar Jagarnath, P.S. Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.
12. Ramawati Devi, W/o Gena Sah, Ward member of ward no. 02, Resident of Village- Jigar Jagarnath, P.S. Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.
13. Sabhi Devi, Wife of Ash Narayan Chaudhary, Ward member of ward no. 04, Resident of Village- Jigar Jagarnath, P.S. Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.
14. Tara Devi, Wife of not known, Mukhiya Jigar Jagarnath, Resident of Village- Jigar Jagarnath, P.S. Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Lokesh Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. Irshad, AC to Standing Counsel (1)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 05-02-2025

Heard the parties.

2. In this case on 09.07.2024, the petitioner was



directed to implead the respondents and noticed were to be issued in three weeks. The same having not been complied and it being a peremptory order stood dismissed on 30.07.2024.

3. Perused the file, consigned.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

perwez

U			
---	--	--	--

