

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6279 of 2025

=====

Shardanand Singh Son of Late Keshawa Singh, Resident of Village-Kochahasa, P.s.-Kinjer, District-Arwal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, District-Arwal.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Sub Divisional Arwal, District-Arwal.
5. The D.C.L.R. Sub Divisional Arwal, District-Arwal.
6. The Circle Officer, Circle-Karpi, District-Arwal.
7. The Block Development Officer, Block-Karpi, District-Arwal.
8. The Sangita Devi, Member Panchayat Samittee Kochahasa cum Pramukh Prakhand Karpi, District-Arwal.
9. The S.H.O. Police Station-Kinjer, District-Arwal.
10. Shri Sudhir Kumar, husband of Sangita Devi, Member Panchayat Samitee, Kochahasa cum Prakhand Pramukh Block, Karpi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arvind Prasad Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Standing Counsel (04)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 28-04-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief/s:

(i) for issuance of a writ/ writs certiorari or appropriate in nature for directing and commanding to the respondents to remove the illegal constructed road (Kacha road) from land of the petitioner bearing Khata



No. 235, plot no. 2405 where by and whereunder the respondents have constructed Kacha road Chirari Bigha to Kochahasa over the ancestral landed property of the petitioner in connivance of husband of Prakhand Pramukh Block Karpi without acquire the land, without giving compensation of the petitioner, without consent of the petitioner or without taken legal step and damaged the agricultural land of the petitioner total 10 fit wide and 70 fit long total area 700 squire fit.

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in continuation of the earlier representation dated 18.06.2024, he shall be filing a fresh representation before the Collector cum District Magistrate, Arwal for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Learned State counsel submits that in case it is filed, the same will be taken to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

5. Granting said liberty to the petitioner to approach the Collector (respondent no.3), Arwal, the writ petition stands



disposed of.

6. Needless to say, if any representation comes, the same has to be taken to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

