

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34189 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-385 Year-2024 Thana- SIKANDRA District- Jamui

Sanjay Saw @ Sanjay Sao S/o Boudhu Saw @ Late Baidhu Saw R/O Vill.-
Ranhan, P.S. and P.O.- Sikandra, Dist.- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Jay

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Zainul Abedin

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 8 17-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of
Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amended Act.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of three cases as would manifest from
supplementary affidavit and allegation is of recovery of 50 litres
of liquor from the house of Shyam Manjhi. It is next submitted
that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing
was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the
owner of the house nor is related to Shyam in any manner and
he came to be implicated at the instance of chowkidar with
whom he is on an inimical term.
4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for
anticipatory bail of the petitioner.



5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15000/- (Rupees fifteen thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sikandra P.S. Case No.385/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than three cases, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner has antecedent of three cases, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

