

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20858 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-718 Year-2024 Thana- GARDANIBAG District- Patna

Aditya Kumar Yadav S/o- Sanjesh Kumar Yadav @ Sanjay Yadav Resident of  
village- Sukwa Ps- Bhatpar Rani District-Dewaria UP

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Makardhwaj Upadhyay, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Parmeshwar Mehta, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3      15-05-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with  
Gardanibagh P.S. Case No. 718 of 2024 instituted for the  
offences under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 112(2) of  
the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 10/11 of the B.S.P. Examination  
Prohibition Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the accusation against the  
petitioner is that he with the help of other accomplices, tried to  
get job by committing forgery by editing the roll number of  
some another successful woman candidate.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged



against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 27.12.2024 without any rhymes or reason. Charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner and other co-accused namely Suraj Kumar.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged is serious in nature. The petitioner was caught outside the examination center with forged admit card. The Investigating Officer, after completion of investigation, has submitted charge-sheet against the petitioner for offence under Sections 318(4)/338/336(3)/340(2)/ 112(2) of the B.N.S. Act and Section 10/11 of the B.S.P. Examination Prohibition Act.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature and gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner, above named, is rejected with a direction to the court below to



expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of six months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of six months as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below which will be disposed of on its merit without being prejudiced by this order.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

rishi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

