

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22328 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-577 Year-2022 Thana- TRIVENIGANJ District- Supaul

Samrat Sona @ Sona Babu @ Samrat Kumar S/o- Shri Anil Kumar Thakur  
Resident of village- Bhura PS- Triveniganh District-Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                                      |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Adv.<br>Mr. Ritwik Thakur, Adv.<br>Mrs. Vaishnavi Singh, Adv. |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Md. Ataur Rahman, APP.                                                           |
| For the Informant    | : | Mr. Jitendra Kumar Giri, Adv.                                                        |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA**

ORAL ORDER

3      22-05-2025              Heard Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 577 of 2022 registered for the offences punishable u/s 148, 149, 448, 341, 323, 326, 307, 302 and 427 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The First Information Report discloses an allegation against nine accused persons that they came variously armed to the house of the informant and gave knife blows to the father of the informant, namely, Rajendra Rai who was declared dead in course of his treatment. There is further allegation that others



were also assaulted by various arms.

4. It has subsequently come during the course of investigation that the allegations on the petitioner is that of giving a gun shot injury upon Mithun Rai and the injury report of the said injured Mithun Rai is available in the case diary which would go to show that he has received a gun shot injury on the left side of the chest and while initially the opinion on injury was reserved, the final injury report would show that the said injury is a bullet injury which is grievous in nature.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that there is case and counter case and the persons on the side of the petitioner have also received injuries. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been languishing in custody since 13.01.2025 and the charge sheet has also been submitted against him.

6. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant oppose the prayer for bail on the ground that there is an allegation on the petitioner of giving gun shot injury to one Mithun Rai and he has received a grievous injury on vital part of his body, i.e., chest.

7. Considering the nature of the allegation and also the grievous injury sustained by injured Mithun Rai, I am not



inclined to grant bail to the petitioner named above. The prayer for bail is hereby rejected.

8. Accordingly, the bail application is dismissed.

9. However, the petitioner is at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge against him.

**(Soni Shrivastava, J)**

divyanshi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

