

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24094 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-93 Year-2022 Thana- Mehendiya District- Arwal

Budha Paswan @ Gupteshwar Paswan, S/o Dilkeshwar Paswan Resident of
Village- Bharub, P.S.- Obra, District- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bachan Jee Ojha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nirmala Kumari, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 07-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the
petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Mehendiya P.S.
Case No. 93 of 2022, registered for the offence under Section
392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier, the prayer for bail of the petitioner was
rejected on 21.08.2024 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No.
57922 of 2024. The order dated 21.08.2024 passed in Criminal
Miscellaneous No. 57922 of 2024 reads as under:

*“Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks bail in
connection with Mehendiya P.S. Case No. 93 of
2022 registered for the offence under Section 392
of the Indian Penal Code.*

*3. As per the prosecution case, Rs.
56,100 and one mobile phone has been looted from
the informant by some unknow criminals.*



4. The petitioner has been named in the self inculpatory statement of the one co-accused namely Dinesh Paswan.

5. The petitioner is accused in six more criminal cases of similar and serious nature which includes cases of dacoity and loot.

6. The petitioner is in jail since 19.3.2024.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

9. The Court below is directed to expedite the trial of the petitioner and conclude the same at the earliest.”

4. From the report of the Trial Court, it appears that the trial court has framed the charges in the case and summons have been issued to the witnesses.

5. Considering the the aforesaid facts and also the gravity of the offence, I am not inclined to review my earlier order. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

manish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

