

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23666 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-71 Year-2025 Thana- PURNEA SADAR District- Purnia

Md. Ramzan Ali @ Md. Ramzan Son of Md. Sitab Resident of Telniya
Rahika, P.S. - Dagarua, District - Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md Fazle Karim, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, A.P.P

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 05-05-2025 Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 71 of 2025 lodged on 05.02.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 8(c) & 21(b) of the N.D.P.S. Act.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against two named accused persons including the present petitioner. Total recovery of 23.05 grams of brown sugar/smack has been made which is the subject matter of the present case.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel submits that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot and nothing has been recovered from the petitioner's possession.



Counsel submits that petitioner's name has figured in this case only on the basis of disclosure made by co-accused. Counsel further submits that petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner is named in the FIR and the alleged N.D.P.S material has been recovered which is above the small quantity and this aspect must be taken into consideration.

6. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner in the present N.D.P.S case. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

