

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6917 of 2025

Dr. Vivek Kumar Son of Shailendra Kumar Singh, Resident of Purbi Kapasiya, Nagdah, P.O. and P.S.- Begusarai, District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. That Bihar State University Service Commission 8th Floor, Bihar School Examination Board Academic Building, Buddha Marg, Patna- 800001, through its Secretary.
2. The Chairman, Bihar State University Service Commission, 8th Floor, Bihar School Examination Board Academic Building, Buddha Marg, Patna- 800001.
3. The Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.
4. The Director, Higher Education Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.
5. GLA University, Mathura (U.P.), through its Registrar.
6. The Principal, University Polytechnic, GLA University, Mathura (U.P.).

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pramod Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Vivek Prasad, GP-7
		Mr. Sanjay Kumar, AC to GP-7
For B.S.U.S.C.	:	Mr. Harsh Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that the State University Service Commission/respondent no.2 in the selection made for the post of Assistant Professor (English) pursuant to advertisement dated 21.09.2020 have not taken into account the petitioner's experience certificate submitted by him, as a result of which, the petitioner has not been selected by the Bihar State University



Service Commission.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of Bihar State University Service Commission has submitted that the selection process for the post of Assistant Professor (English) pursuant to advertisement dated 21.09.2020 has been concluded and result thereof has also been published on 08.03.2025.

4. The aforesaid submission made by learned counsel for the Bihar State University Service Commission has not been controverted by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. The record reveals that the present writ petition has been filed on 24.03.2025 without impleading any of the candidates selected for the post of Assistant Professor (English) by the select list dated 08.03.2025.

6. Under the circumstances, I am of the view that the relief sought for by the petitioner in the present writ petition cannot be granted to the writ petitioner in the present form and content the writ petition has been filed.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition is hereby dismissed on the ground stated above.

(Nani Tagia, J)

Amrendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

