

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20810 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-144 Year-2023 Thana- MEDNI CHAUKI District- Lakhisarai

Chikku Kumar @ Chikku Singh @ Mayank Kumar @ Mayank Raj S/O
Fucho Singh @ Phucho Singh R/O- Nadpur, P.S- Surajgarha, District-
Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tapeshwar Sharma, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Mednichouki P.S. Case No. 144 of 2023 instituted for the
offences under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 379, 386, 504,
506/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms
Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the accused persons
including the petitioner armed with arms and ammunition came
at the place of occurrence and demanded extortion money of Rs.
one lakh from the Informant. On refusal, it is alleged that the co-
accused Bhikhari Singh and Shiv Kumar fired upon the
Informant but, he jumped into the river. Thereafter, the accused



persons looted the fishing net, three *Mann* fishes along with the boat and fled away from there.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner or from his house. There is no specific or direct allegation of any overt act against the petitioner rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. The specific allegation of firing is against the co-accused Bhikhari Singh and Shiv Kumar Singh. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. In the occurrence, no one sustained injury. The petitioner has seven criminal antecedents in which he is on bail and is languishing in judicial custody since 03.09.2024 without any rhymes or reason.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case as also taking into account the period of custody of the petitioner, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Mednichouki P.S. Case No. 144 of 2023, subject to the following conditions;

(i) One of the bailor(s) shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

