

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21799 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-192 Year-2024 Thana- KAUWAKOL District- Nawada

Ravi Kumar Son of Binod Saw Resident of Village - Bhorambagh (Barraji),
P.S.- Kauwakole, District - Nawadah.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Prawesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rina Sinha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 23-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Kauwakole P.S. Case No. 192 of 2024 instituted for the offences
under Sections 376, 354D, 465, 500 of the Indian Penal Code
and Sections 66(c), 66(D), 66(E), 67, 68, (A) of the I.T. Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that, the petitioner
deceitfully recorded obscene videos of the informant and
blackmailed her by threatening to make them viral, repeatedly
forcing her into physical relations and defaming her by sharing
the videos online.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the



present case. Learned counsel further submitted that the allegation levelled against the petitioner is false and concocted. He further submitted that victim is major and there is love affair between the victim and the petitioner for the last three years. He further contended that the petitioner never forced the victim to make physical relations and therefore, Section 376 of the IPC is not attracted against the petitioner. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 12.09.2024 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned APP further submitted that victim in her Statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS has supported the case of the prosecution.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also Section 183 BNSS statement of the victim, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to



renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

