

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22639 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3 Year-2024 Thana- NEMDARGANJ District- Nawada

Rahul Kumar @ Chhotu Kumar @ Chhotu Son of Dharmendra Kumar @
Virendra Kumar Resident of Sakin- Panchgawan, Thana- Nemdarganj, Distt.-
Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Indradeo Prasad, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 21-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner for grant of
bail in connection with Nemdarganj P.S. Case No. 03 of 2024
registered for the offence under Section 406, 420 & 34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was
rejected on 20.11.2024 in Cr. Misc. No. 59551 of 2024, the
order dated 20.11.2024 reads as under:

*Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Nemdarganj P.S. Case No. 3 of 2024 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 406, 420 and 34 of the*



Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is accused of cheating the informant . The truck of the informant was parked in front of the office of the petitioner and it disappeared.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. The petitioner is in jail since 06.01.2024. He is accused in thirteen more similar and serious cases.

7. Considering the allegation that has come against the petitioner and the criminal antecedent of the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and therefore, the present bail application is hereby rejected.

4. In the trial summons have been issued to the witnesses.

5. In these circumstances, I am not inclined to review my earlier order. Accordingly, this application stands dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Siddharth Soni/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

