

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25408 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-169 Year-2024 Thana- KHUSRUPUR District- Patna

Ranjit Paswan S/o Chhotan Paswan R/o Baikatpur, Khushrupur, P.O.-
Khushrupur, P.S.- Khushrupur, District- Patna Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Fakhruddin Ali Ahmad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 04-08-2025 Heard Mr. Fakhruddin Ali Ahmad, learned counsel

for the petitioner and Mr. Bharat Bhushan, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection
with Khusrupur P.S. Case No. 169 of 2024, F.I.R dated
06.05.2024 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 341, 323, 307, 385, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian
Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, all the accused
persons including this petitioner have fired upon the informant
due to non-fulfillment of demand of ransom.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the
present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in
the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not
committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R. and due to some
petty dispute the petitioner has been implicated in the present



case. He further submits that the similarly situated co-accused persons against whom there is similar allegation has been granted bail by the learned Court below itself vide order dated 19.12.2024 in ABP No. 980 of 2024 and anticipatory bail application of the petitioner has been rejected merely on the basis of his criminal antecedents.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that it appears from the F.I.R that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner and apart from that the petitioner carries four criminal antecedents other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner is on bail in all the four cases.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that for the same set of allegation the co-accused persons have been granted bail by learned Court below itself, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Patna City in connection with Khusrupur



P.S. Case No. 169 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

