

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20499 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-219 Year-2024 Thana- LAHERIMUHALLA District- Nalanda

Ranjit Kumar @ Ranjeet Kumar, Son of Ashok Prasad, Resident of village -
Dumrawan, P.S.- Deepnagar, Dist.- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prabhat Ranjan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Parmanand Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 20-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Laheri P.S. Case No. 219 of 2024, registered for the alleged offence under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 379, 384, 385/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, the petitioner stabbed the son of the informant and took away Rs.8,000- 10,000/- and one gold locket worth Rs.25,000/- from the victim.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The informant is not an eye witness and the FIR has been lodged after a delay of one day for which there is no explanation. The victim is himself an accused of Laheri P.S.



Case No. 508/2021. The wife of the petitioner has also lodged Laheri P.S. Case No. 230/2024 for the occurrence of same date though belatedly. The learned counsel further submits that since the son of the informant is himself a veteran criminal, the allegation against the petitioner that he stabbed him is completely false and concocted as the petitioner and no other person of the locality would dare to assault the son of the informant. This case has been lodged after procuring a false medical report from a private hospital. The learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is having clean antecedent and he provides technical support for computer in a local school and did not make any attempt on the life of the son of the informant. Rather, a number of CCTV Cameras are near the place of occurrence, but no material has come up against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 15.09.2024. The learned counsel also submits that the case has been committed for trial.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. The learned APP submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he assaulted the son of the informant by means of knife and intestine came out in such assault.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and



submissions made hereinabove and considering the fact that the case has been committed for trial and further considering the period of custody of the petitioner and his clean antecedent, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nalanda/court concerned, in connection with Laheri P.S. Case No. 219 of 2024, subject to the conditions mentioned in Section 480 (3) of BNSS and also the following conditions :

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below.

(iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

V.K.Pandey/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

