

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5300 of 2023

1. Sushila Kumari Sinha Wife of Late Prabhat Rukhaiyar, resident of Mohalla - 3/C Chitra Apartment Road No. 15 Patel Nagar Hatiya, P.S. - Hatiya, District - Ranchi (Jharkhand).
2. Sukhdeo Prasad, Son of Late Nathun Prasad, resident of Village - Tepa, Fatehpur, P.S. - Tekari, District - Gaya.
3. Vijay Singh, Son of Late Saryu Singh, resident of Mohalla - Rampur Devariya, P.S. - Aurangabad, District - Aurangabad.
4. Kamapati Singh, Son of Late Dinanath Singh, resident of Mohalla - 46, Bisnpur, Hahuwari Khas, P.S. - Baluaa, District - Chandaul (Uttar Pradesh).
5. Anil Kumar Khanna, Son of Late Durga Singh, resident of Mohalla - Chand Choura, Vishnupad Road, Gaya, P.S. Gaya, District - Gaya.
6. Fuleshwari Devi, Wife of Late Ram Swaroop Ram, resident of Mohalla - Kutumba Rampur Aurangabad, P.S. - Aurangabad, District- Aurangabad.
7. Muzaffar Hasan @ Muzzafar Hasan, Son of Late Hassan Imam, resident of Village - Aliganj, P.S.- Rampur Gaya, District - Gaya.
8. Geeta Devi, Wife of Late Krishna Sharma, resident of Mohalla - Road No. 8 Shastri Nagar, P.S. Rampur Gaya, District - Gaya.
9. Subodh Kumar Sinha, Son of Late Bideshwari Prasad Singh, resident of village - Jhillganj, Gaya P.S.- Rampur Gaya, District- Gaya.
10. Basudeo Dusadh, Son of Late Khiru Dusadh, resident of village - Jagannathdih, P.O. - Mirzaganj, P.S. - Mirzaganj, District - Giridh (Jharkhand).
11. Nand Kishore Prasad, Son of Late Sukhdeo Prasad, resident of village - Kewali, P.S. - Chandauti, District - Gaya.
12. Raj Nandan Prasad I, Son of Late Viyadhar Prasad, resident of Mohalla - Kharjama Rewan, P.S. - District- Patna.
13. Ashok Kumar Mishra, son of Late Braz Mohan Mishra, resident of Mohalla - Oppiste Narayani Tubewell Shanti Market, 14 Tekari Road Gaya, P.S. - Kotwali Gaya, District - Gaya.
14. Raj Nath Ram, Son of Late Ghinawan Ram, resident of Mohalla - Khatkachak Naili Road Rajwansh Nagar, P.S. - Gaya, District- Gaya.
15. Saryu Pandey, Son of Late Kamo Pandey, resident of Mohalla - Lahariya Tola Gaya, P.S. - Kotwali Gaya, District - Gaya.
16. Durga Devi, Wife of Late Radhe Mistry, resident of Mohalla - Jail Road, Rampur, Near Gaya College More Rampurr, P.S. - Rampur, District - Gaya.
17. Radheshyam Thakur, Son of Late Deo Ratan Thakur, resident of Mohalla - Champa Niwas, Dhandhawa Road Domuhan Bodha Gaya, P.S. - Bodh Gaya, District- Gaya.
18. Joseph Anthony Munna Tirky, Son of Late Andheris Tirki, resident of Village- Ladd, P.S. - Nagri Erchora Ranchi, District - Ranchi, (Jharkhand).
19. Kishori Ram, Son of Late Badri Ram, resident of Mohalla - Back Side of



Karlo Agency, Bodh Gaya, Domuhan, P.S. - Bodh Gaya, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1. The State of Bihar through Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
- 2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
- 3. The Vice Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
- 4. The Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
- 5. The Finance Officer, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anil Kumar No.1, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Prabhakar Jha (Gp27)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 04-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel for the State and learned counsel representing the University.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that during pendency of this writ petition, the University has filed a counter affidavit showing payment of the amounts under different heads for which relief in question is sought for by the petitioners. Learned counsel further submits that in terms of paragraphs 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12, the present writ petition may be disposed of restoring liberty to these petitioners to file appropriate representations giving all details of remaining dues which, according to the petitioners, is found having not been adjudicated and require consideration by the University.



3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the University contends that such liberty may be given to the petitioners and on filing representation by the respective petitioners, the University is obliged to take into consideration all such supportive materials for adjudicating their claims if any remains.

4. Considering the stand of the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as that of University, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction that, in case, the petitioners file such representations before the competent authority in the University, then such representation shall be considered expeditiously and final order should be passed giving opportunity to each of the petitioners who propose to ventilate their grievances if any and upon such adjudication a final reasoned order shall be communicated to them within a period of eight weeks from the date of such representations.

5. The writ petition, accordingly, stands disposed of.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

perwez

U			
---	--	--	--

