

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20730 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-144 Year-2024 Thana- SANGRAMPUR District- Munger

Mandip Paswan @ Mandip Kumar Son of Late Sujit Paswan R/o Vill.-
Dadrijala, P.S.- Sangrampur, Dist.- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajive Ranjan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 25-04-2025 Heard Mr. Rajive Ranjan Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 144 of 2024, F.I.R. dated 15.07.2024
for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 307, 504,
506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. According to prosecution case, all the accused
persons including the petitioner abused the informant and on
protest, one co-accused person brought iron rod and gave it to
the petitioner and assaulted the informant result of which the
informant sustained injuries on his nose.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent has falsely been implicated in



the present case. The allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. From the perusal of the F.I.R., it appears that the date of occurrence is 19.06.2024 but the present F.I.R. has been instituted on 15.07.2024 after delay of about 25 days without giving any explanation of delay. Apart from that, it appears from that from the perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that there is specific allegation of assault is attributed against the petitioner and the injured person had received injury and the co-accused person, namely, Sandip Kumar has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail vide order dated 08.01.2025 in Cr. Misc. No. 88268 of 2024 by this Court.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts that the petitioner has clean antecedent and the co-accused person has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by this Court, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Munger in connection with Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 144 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:-



i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Jyoti Kumari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

