

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22559 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-129 Year-2024 Thana- Marnga District- Purnia

Munna Kumar Soni @ Munna Soni Son of Surendra Sony Resident of village
- Kanharyia Achepur, P.S.- Dagarua, District - Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nadimul Hasan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 01-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Maranga P.S. Case No. 129 of 2024 instituted for the offences
under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that, three unknown
miscreants entered the informant's CSP cabin and on the point
of pistol, looted Rs. 1,22,000/- as well as DVR of the CCTV
camera installed in the house and fled away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that
the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.
Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner
transpired in this case during investigation on the basis of his



confessional statement. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that T.I.P. has not been conducted till date. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner has got no concern with the looted articles. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 18.10.2024 and has six criminal antecedents. The co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 03.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 691 of 2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, claim based on parity as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail, ***after framing of charge, if not already framed***, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Maranga P.S. Case No. 129 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:



(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(III) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

