

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22585 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-193 Year-2023 Thana- KOCHADHAMAN District- Kishanganj

Shah Jamal Alam @ Shah Jamal son of Tahir Hussain R/o Village- More Basti rohiya near idgaah, PS -Kochadhaman, District- Kishanganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ishrat Praveen D/o- Late Khalid Alam, R/O- vill- Guna Shamesaw, PO, PS- Bahadurganj, District -Kishanganj.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mritunjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

4 10-09-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner in this application prays for bail apprehending his arrest in connection with Kochadhaman P.S. Case no.193 of 2023 registered for the offence punishable under sections 354, 354(c), 504, 506 and 507 of the Indian Penal Code and sections 66C, 67 and 67A of the I.T. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that the accused used to call her, sent objectionable messages, abused her and threatened to kidnap her. It is further stated that having made a forged I.D. of the informant, he used to post obscene photographs and used to make the same viral causing great distress to the informant.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. There is no reason as to why the informant waited for a period of six months and did not even lodge a single complaint in the past. It was the complainant who was insisting for marriage with the petitioner and on the petitioner refusing to marry her that the instant FIR has been registered. The petitioner is a respectable person and has no criminal antecedent.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the FIR and specially the allegation of the petitioner having made a false account in the informant's name, having put up obscene photographs and of making the same viral, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail and the application is rejected.

7. The petitioner is directed to surrender in the learned Court below within a period of four weeks.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

