

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22866 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-578 Year-2024 Thana- JHAJHA District- Jamui

=====

Sandeep Kumar S/o Binod Prasad R/o Village- Samaldih, P.S.- Sadgada,
Distt.- Kodarma, Jharkhand

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioner apprehend his arrest in a case registered
for the offence punishable under Sections 64(1), 318(4) and
61(2) of BNS, 2023.

3. The prosecution story, in brief is that the informant
namely Kumari Khushboo was married on 05-05-2010 with
Sunil Kumar. They lived together as husband and wife, but
unfortunately her husband passed away in 2017 due to heart
attack. Since then, she has been living as a widow. She was
taking care of her minor son, whose father was deceased.
Meanwhile, a person named Sandeep Kumar came in contact
with her through Facebook where they get acquainted and he
expressed his intention to marry her. After some Years, on May
13, 2024, Sandeep Kumar called Kumari Khushboo to the



railway station where he forced a physical relationship with her. The next morning, when she woke up, she protested against what had happened, but Sandeep Kumar tried to convince her by applying *sindoor* on her forehead, signifying marriage, and assured her that he would take care of. However, later on, he started ignoring her and refused to accept their relationship. Subsequently, he leaked intimate video and pictures of her through Facebook, Messenger. On November 1, 2024, she learned about this through relatives, and the incident caused her extreme mental stress.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean and has been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that the relationship between the informant and the petitioner is consensual and the informant was willing to engage in the relationship without any coercion. It is next submitted that there is no medical evidence conducted in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that there is no material evidence to substantiate the allegations of cheating or coercion, as the petitioner never made any false promise of marriage. It is also submitted that allegation of sharing objectionable content is baseless and the petitioner is willing to cooperate with the investigation. Learned



counsel also submitted that no independent witness of the vicinity has supported the allegation as mentioned in the FIR.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the bail petition.

6. Considering the serious nature of allegations contained in the FIR against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

