

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16992 of 2015

Rekha Jaiswal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar and Ors.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Ms. Dimpal Kumari, AC to GP-11

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 14-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

“I. For issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of order dated 08.01.2015 passed by Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Birpur in Land Dispute Case No. 42 of 2014 whereby the petition filed by the petitioner has been dismissed and the petitioner may file title suit before competent court for her grievance.

II. For quashing of Memo No. 416-2 dated 15.5.2015 issued by Circle Officer, Raghapur whereby he has directed the Officer-in-charge, Karjain to restrain the petitioner to go on the land in dispute till the further order of competent court.

III. For issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus direction to Respondent authority



concerned to restrain the Respondent No.4 to 6 to go upon the land of the petitioner and also to not interfere in title and possession over the land of the petitioner.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the sole petitioner is no more and as such, time be granted to file substitution petition.

4. Learned State counsel has taken this Court to an order passed by the Coordinate Bench dated 31.08.2023 to show that the same prayer was made after which four weeks' time was granted and still no petition has come which clearly shows that the heirs of the sole petitioner has no contact with the learned counsel and the prayer is only to buy time.

5. There is force in the submission of learned State counsel. Protecting the rights of the heirs and granting them liberty to approach afresh if he/she/they so want, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

