

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19663 of 2014

-
1. Ranjit Kumar Singh
 2. Sudhir Kumar Singh Both Sons of Late Lakhendra Singh
 3. Most. Jalaso Devi Widow of Late Lakhindra Singh All R/o Vill-Bishunpur
Mohan@Margi,P.S@Anchal-Mahua,District-Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Director of Consolidation,Bihar ,Patna
3. The Deputy Director of Consolidation,Bihar ,Patna
4. Jugeshwar Singh Son of Late Ram Bilash Singh
5. Maheshwar Singh
6. Shiv Chandra Singh Both Sons of Jugeshwar Singh
7. Jindar Singh Son of Late Sakal Singh
8. Pankaj Kumar
9. Mukul Kumar
10. Abhinash Kumar All Sons of Late Tarkeshwar Singh All R/o Vill.-Bishunpur
Mohan@Margi,P.S@Anchal-Mahua,District-Vaishali

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Naresh Chandra Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sarvesh Kumar, GP-24.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 01-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for :-

“That, this writ application is for quashing the order dated 17.8.1999 passed by Sri Rajendra Prasad Singh, Deputy Director of Consolidation, Bihar, Patna, in Revision Case No. 356 of 1998 as contained in Annexure 3.”

3. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the



petitioners submits that he has a remedy before the Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna but there has been inordinate delay in moving before it, upon query by the Court that order by the Deputy Director of Consolidation, Bihar, Patna Division case No. 356 of 98 was passed on 17.08.1999 while fifteen years delay in filing the writ petition, the answer is that he never came to know about the said order and only when the respondent no.4 filed case no.291 of 2013-14 before the learned DCLR, Mahua was demarcation of his land, aggrieved, the present petition be disposed off.

4. Learned State counsel submits that if such application is preferred, in two weeks, the State counsel has no objection.

5. In that background and accepting the words of the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed off with liberty to approach the Bihar Land Tribunal, Bihar, Patna in next two weeks. If such a petition is preferred in two weeks, the Tribunal shall take into account the aforesaid submission of the petitioner justifying the delay in the further fact that the writ petition was pending before this court between 2014-2015, before deciding the contention preferred.

6. It is made clear that this liberty has been granted



only for a period of two weeks and if the petitioner fails to approach the Tribunal in next two weeks, the Tribunal is free to take a decision accordingly.

6. The writ petition stands disposed off.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

