

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.254 of 2024

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16969 of 2022

=====

Rajendra Mehta, S/o Late Tarni Mehta, R/o Village-Baijnathpur, P.S.-Sour Bazar, District-Saharsa.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The Commissioner, Kosi Division, Saharsa.
2. The District Magistrate, Saharsa.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Saharsa.
4. The District Arms Magistrate, Saharsa.
5. The In-charge Sub-Inspector of Police, Sour Bazar, Saharsa.
6. The Sub-Inspector of Police (O.P.), Baijnathpur, District- Saharsa.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Kumar Vishoka Nand, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Dr. Anand Kumar, AC to AAG-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

3 06-02-2025 Heard the learned Advocate for the appellant

and learned Advocate for the State.

2. The appellant, who is a holder of arms licence

and the arms against such licence, is aggrieved by the

order passed by the District Magistrate, Saharsa

cancelling his licence and the order affirming such

cancellation by the Commissioner.



3. The learned Single Judge also, taking into account that the appellant was made accused in a criminal case with the allegation of opening fire, rejected the claim of the appellant that his licence be restored.

4. However, the learned Single Judge indulgently gave the liberty to the appellant to approach the District Magistrate again after the trial is concluded and he is found to be innocent.

5. There is no reason why the aforementioned order of the learned Single Judge be interfered with.

6. The appeal stands dismissed.

(Ashutosh Kumar, ACJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Anushka/Rajesh

U			
---	--	--	--

