

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20110 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1260 Year-2023 Thana- AHIYAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

Ravi Kumar Dinesh Sahani R/O Village- Sekhpur Dhab, P.S.- Ahiyapur,
District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ansul, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kalyan Shankar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 02-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Ahiyapur P.S. case No. 1260 of 2023 instituted for the offences
under Sections 302 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that on the alleged date
and time of occurrence, the accused persons including this
petitioner killed the informant's brother-in-law by slitting his
throat after hatching conspiracy.

4. The petitioner has earlier moved before this Court
with a prayer for anticipatory bail which was rejected by this
Court vide order dated 09.08.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No.
48091 of 2024. The petitioner, thereafter, again moved before



this Court with a prayer for bail which was again rejected on merit vide order dated 06.12.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 79394 of 2024.

5. In compliance to the earlier order of this Court dated 26.03.2025, a report with regard to the present stage of tirla has been received which has been kept at Flag 'S'.

6. The report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Court No.10, Muzaffarpur (East) shows that the cognizance has already been taken by this Court on 04.01.2024 against seven accused persons including the petitioner and the case is pending for appearance of other six co-accused persons.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 09.09.2024 and the trial has not yet been commenced and, as such, there is no likelihood of the conclusion of the trial in near future. He further submits that several co-accused persons viz. Raju Rai @ Raj Kumar, Nandu Sahni @ Nandu Kumar @ Abhishek, Upendra Sahni, Sitaram Sahni, Jhapas Sahni, Ravi Kumar @ Kashi @ Ravi Sahni and Arun Sah have been granted bail by this Court vide orders dated 11.03.2024, 05.03.2024, 05.03.2024, 03.04.2024, 03.04.2024, 28.06.2024, 30.08.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. Nos. 14674 of 2024, 13600 of 2024, 13583 of 2024, 24404 of 2024, 26520 of 2024,



40447 of 2024 and 63271 of 2024 respectively.

8. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the prayer for bail of the petitioner has already been rejected by this Court on merit.

9. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the nature and gravity of the offence as also there being direct and specific allegation against the petitioner of slitting the throat of the deceased by means of Dabia, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

10. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner, above named, is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

