

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22719 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-8 Year-2023 Thana- N.C.B (GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL)
District- Patna

=====

Raja Sah @ Raja Kumar Sah S/O Gopal Sah Resident of Chandrapura, Ward
No. 09, Post- Hathilpur, P.S- Brahampur, District- Buxar, Bihar, Pin Code-
802130.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The Union of India through the Intelligence Officer, Narcotics Control
Bureau, Patna. Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. A. K. Thakur, Advocate Ms. Akanksha Malviya, Advocate Mr. Akash Keshav, Advocate Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastav, Advocate
For the UOI	:	Mr. Ram Anurag Singh (C.G.C)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 22-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned counsel for the Union of India.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Special
(N.D.P.S) Case No. 261 of 2023 arising out of N.C.B. Crime /
Case No. NCB/PZU/Cr.No. 08 of 2023 instituted for the
offences under Sections 8(c), 21(c), 27(A) and 29 of the NDPS
Act.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.



The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court vide order dated 22.11.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 70971 of 2024.

4. In compliance of the order dated 03.07.2025, a report dated 14.07.2025 with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that charge has been framed against all three accused persons and out of six named complaint witnesses, two witnesses have been fully examined. It is further reported that the case record is pending for examination of rest four witnesses including two independent witnesses.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 14.10.2023 without any rhymes or reason and has got no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned counsel for the Union of India opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court



below to expedite the trial. If the trial is not concluded within the period of six months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

