

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6302 of 2025**

=====

Narendra Kumar Sinha Son of Late Ram Shay Singh, Resident of Village-  
Juri Tola, Bachu Bigha, P.O.- Banka Bazar, P.S.- Banke Bazar, District- Gaya,  
At Present Mohalla- Dhawan Nagar, Kake Road, P.O.- Gandhi Nagar, P.S.-  
Gonda Town, District- Ranchi, (Jharkhand).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary Land Reformed Revenue  
Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
3. The Deputy Collector, Land Reform, Sherghati, Gaya.
4. The Circle Officer, Banka Bazar, Gaya.
5. Ramswerup Singh @ Ranjeet Singh Son of Late Satyendra Singh, Resident  
of Village- Juri Tola Bachu Bigha, P.O.- Banke Bazar, P.S.- Banke- Bazar,  
District- Gaya.
6. Kamlesh Kumar Singh Son of Late Satyendra Singh, Resident of Village-  
Juri Tola Bachu Bigha, P.O.- Banke Bazar, P.S.- Banke- Bazar, District-  
Gaya.
7. Dileep Kumar Singh Son of Late Satyendra Singh, Resident of Village- Juri  
Tola Bachu Bigha, P.O.- Banke Bazar, P.S.- Banke- Bazar, District- Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                 |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr.Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta, Advocate                            |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr.Sita Ram Yadav, G.P.-16<br>Mr. Yatindra Narayan, AC to GP-16 |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      28-04-2025                      Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the  
following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance of the appropriate  
writ/writs,                      order/orders                      or  
direction/directions for quashing the order  
dated 25.03.2014 passed by the Hon'ble*



*Chairman of the Bihar Land Tribunal,  
Patna in B.L.T. Case. No.844 of 2013 and  
850 of 2013 are being disposed of interim  
of compromise entered into by the parties  
as mentioned in the deed of agreement  
dated 30.01.2014.*

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the appropriate Court for the redressal of the grievance. According to the instruction, he never appeared which followed the compromise and the order in question.

4. Granting said liberty and without commenting on the merit of the case, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Ravi/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

