

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23036 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-282 Year-2024 Thana- NAUTAN District- West Champaran

Prahalad Sahani @ Prahalad Chaudhary S/o- Late Deba Sahani @ Late Deba Chaudhary Resident of Vill- Vishambharpur, P.S.- Nautan, Dist- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Ram Naresh Ray, APP
For the informant	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar Gupta, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 01-05-2025 Heard Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner; Mr. Ram Naresh Ray, learned APP for the State and Mr. Ashok Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with Nautan P.S. Case No. 282/2024 registered for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 354, 379, 447, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the petitioner along with the other co-accused intentionally toppled the paddy shackles of the informant that led to scuffle, in which the informant and his wife sustained injury.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner submitted that petitioner and informant are neighbours and for the trivial reason, both the parties entered into quarrel. The incidence is dated 27.06.2024 and arising out of said incidence, wife of the petitioner had lodged the FIR on 01.07.2024 and subsequent to that, after much delay on 04.08.2024, the present FIR has been lodged. Learned counsel further submitted that in view of the case lodged by the petitioner's, wife, in retaliation, the present FIR has been lodged by the informant. Petitioner has clean antecedent.

5. Mr. Ashok Kumar Gupta, learned counsel has tendered his appearance on behalf of informant and he submitted that petitioner always used to disturb the informant and his wife being neighbours and that led to filing of the present FIR. Both the informant, as well as his wife, sustained injuries and, as such, petitioner don't deserve to be released on pre-arrest bail.

6. Learned APP for the State also vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

7. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, delay in lodging of FIR by both the parties arising out of the incidence which has taken place on 27.06.2024 and also the fact that injuries sustained on the person



of the informant are simple in nature, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Bettiah, West Champaran/Successor Court in connection with Nautan P.S. Case No. 282/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

